Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/000,456	KAGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KAMAL DIVECHA	2451
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) KAMAL DIVECHA.	(3)	
(2) Mark Friedman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 July 2011	Time: <u>11am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/KAMAL B DIVECHA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/000,456

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since the applicant's representative is International based, an email was sent to discuss examiners proposed amendments based on previous email from the applicant. The amendments proposed were including the integreated chit and "external memory" as in the allowed claims..